



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/854,461

05/15/2001

Miska Hannuksela

059341-0260

8072

30542 7590 05/23/2007
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
P.O. BOX 80278
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278

EXAMINER

RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/23/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/854,461

Applicant(s)

HANNUKSELA ET. AL.

Examiner

Andy S. Rao

Art Unit

2621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andy S. Rao.

(3) Simon Walker.

(2) Peter Albert (#37,268).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-2, 4-6, 9-12, 14-22, 24, 27-29, 31-33, 35, 37-45, 47, 50-54, 56, 59-70.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 6,683,988 to Fukunaga et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Fukunaga").

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the Examiner discussed Fukunaga at length concentrating on the encoding/decoding sequences of figure 6, and the two reference frame memory with reference modification and concluded that the reference frame modification process doesn't read on the "indicating..." limitations of the claims. The Examiner agreed to withdraw Examiner Lee's pending rejection of claims Claims 1-2, 4-6, 9-12, 14-22, 24, 27-29, 31-33, 35, 37-45, 47, 50-54, 56 and 59-70 as based on Fukunaga alone, but wanted to perform a further search/consideration of relevant with a particular concentration on art directed towards reference indexing in motion compensation predictive coding which based on the discussion of the salient features of current application the Examiner concluded might be more relevant. An action (Final Rejection based on amendments as in claims 1-2, 4-6, 9-12, 14-22, 24, 27-29, 31-33, 35, 37-45, 47, 50-54, 56 and 59-70, or a Notice of Allowability) based on the findings of this further search is forthcoming.